

QCM-D: NEW POSSIBILITIES FOR OPTIMISED CLEANING AND DISINFECTION AT THE RISE TESTBED CLEANING INNOVATION

Mikael Kjellin

November 10, 2017

13:45-14:15

Research Institutes of Sweden Division: Bioscience and Materials Unit: Surface, Process and Formulation

Section: Formulation Development



Owned by the Swedish government

RISE=Research Institutes of Sweden

Merger between institutes Innventia, SP and Swedish ICT to become a stronger research and innovation partner for businesses and society.





One strong, unified institute for Sweden

§ Compete on the international stage

§ Build a stronger Swedish institute sector that will actively support Swedish industry, providing increased benefits for trade and industry, and society in general.



RISE in brief

- § Present across the whole of Sweden. And beyond.
- § 2,200 employees, 30 % with a PhD.
- § Turnover approx. SEK 2.5 billion (2016).
- § SME clients account for approx. 30 %.
- § Runs 100s of test and demonstration facilities, open for industry, SMEs, universities and institutes (RISE is owner and partner in 60 % of all Sweden's T&D facilities).

§ www.ri.se



With our broad range of competencies and unique expertise, we create benefits for many









§ Research and innovation in the formulation area

§ Focus areas:

- 1. Powder technology
- 2. Formulation of biologics
- 3. Controlled delivery and release
- 4. Emulsions and disperse systems
- § Collaborative projects, networking, courses
- § <u>www.rise-perform.com</u>



Contact: Lovisa Ringstad, lovisa.ringstad@ri.se

The RISE testbed Cleaning Innovation

group

- Cleaning Innovation is a platform to develop new technologies, new cleaning formulations, perform testing, education etc in the area of cleaning in the food- and nearby-industry.
- **Within Cleaning Innovation RISE** and the industry have gathered experts, courses, venues and experience to enable new green cleaning technologies based on knowledge.

d www.cleaninginnovation.se



Cleaning Innovation Services

- 1. Cleaning Innovation Smart for the environment and clean!
- 2. Training sessions on cleaning
- 3. Cleanability of process equipment
- 4. Development & Evaluation of cleaning formulations
- 5. Cleaning and disinfection Comparison of techniques
- 6. Numerical Cleanness Analysis

8

- 7. Clean and with a small footprint
- 8. Avoid Process Downtime & Problems Analyze Your Cleaning Processes
- 9. Safety and Exposure Cleaning in Production Units
- 10. Swat team investigation of cleaning problems

Seminar 2017-11-29, Gothenburg, RISE Jordbruk och livsmedel





Cleaning-Important control parameters

- § Temperature
- § Time
- § Mechanical energy
- § Chemistry. Concentration of active substances
- § Also important: What is the demand of cleanliness?



Sinner's circle 4 factors that influence the cleaning result.





Cleaning mechanisms for oily soil. Three main types.

Principles of the QCM-D Technology

Apply voltage, cause the crystal to oscillate



Stop voltage, allow crystal to come to rest

- Change in mass on sensor \rightarrow change in frequency (Df)
- Change in viscoelastic properties \rightarrow change in Dissipation (DD) ۲
- Layer viscosity, elasticity and thickness can be calculated.







Quantifying oily soil removal and understanding cleaning mechanisms

Triolein layer

SE

The quartz crystal surface is covered with a layer of model dirt (triolein) and then mounted into the QCM-D apparatus. The cleaning solution is then added and the amount dirt removed is continuously monitored as a function of time.



The Cleaning profile at a glance. Development at Biolin.



Comparing different cleaning profiles



Cleaning profile A: SLOW

- 15% of the soil remains
- Cleaning process still ongoing
- Longer wash cycle required

Cleaning profile B: BAD

- 15% of the soil remains
- Cleaning process inactive
- Bad final result

Cleaning profile C: FAST

- 100% of the soil removed
- Cleaning process inactive
- Shorter wash cycle or less efficient candidate possible
- How well suited your candidate's cleaning profile is depends on the target wash cycle, the cost and efficiency targets for your specific detergent.



Comparing candidates in varying conditions



- Composition
- Concentration
- Temperature dependence
- Water quality
- Wash cycle time



- Pre-program and run up to 8 samples in one go using the Q-Sense Pro.
- Get high precision, real-time reproducible data



Evidence-based ranking of surfactants/formulations

Study by Biolin Scientific in collaboration with Center for Testmaterials BV(Netherlands)

- Swell time, mass removal rate and total mass removal tested for 9 commerically available formulations
- Rapid screening and ranking of the formulations was easily achieved
- Significant correlation found when comparing to Center for Testmaterials 'macro scale' set-up

NOVEL MEASURING METHODS

I Kenneth Olesen¹, Caspar van Leeuwen² and Fredrik I. Andersson¹

Revealing Detergent Efficiency and Mechanism by Real-Time Measurement Using a Novel and Tailored QCM-D methodology





AkzoNobel – an application example

In O2 2017 AkzoNobel revealed new results for their ELFAN AT 84 G surfactant based on **QSense Cleaning Profile**

- Helped differentiate products
- Used both during and after the development phase
- QSense Cleaning Profile added to the ELFAN product data sheet





Extremely gentie on skin with an excellent dermatological profile, ELFAN AT 84 and ELFAN AT 84 G cleansing agents provide rich, creamy foam to cleansing formulations with minimal impact on skin barriers to keep skin and scalp looking healthy and conditioned:



AkzoNobel Surface Chemistry

Key Benefits

ELFAN AT 84 and ELFAN AT 84 G cleansing agents allow formulators to such as: create superior products compared to other Sodium Cocoyl Isethionates atternatives · Mild surfactant that provides high foam build up and equal cleansing performance to suitated surfactants (SLS) · Enables dense, creamy and stable foams in soft and hard water · Enables clearer formulations than benchmarks in both water and surfactant solutions. It delivers ultra-low residue due to its highly efficient synthesis, giving personal care products superior clarity and foaming Efficient cleansing while gentle on skin. Skin humidity: exhibits lass Transepidermal Water Loss (TEWL) com 8. Baby cleanser pared to both Magnesium Laureth Sulfate and Sodium Lauryl Sulfate



Excellent dermatological profile, non-irritating on skin, low impact on skin barrier

· Extra mild, ideal for baby products and sensitive skin Readily blodegradable · EO-free, suitate-free anionic surfactant

Granular form (ELFACOS AT 84 G) Non-dusty granules for easy handling in manufacturing process.

Suitable for scap bars giving excellent foam cream

Suggested Applications

Due to its extreme mildness and high-foaming performance. ELFAN AT 84/ ELFAN AT B4 G cleansing agents are suitable for a variety of applications

1. Mid shampoos 2. Two-In-one sharmooo/conditioners 3. Mousse, bath and shower dels . Body wash 5. Facial wash 6. Liquid hand soap . Syndet and Combi-bar soap 9. Shaving cream

Figure 5: QCM-D cleaning profile and illustration of soil removal. Also the sters are marked in the graph.



dissolving 0.25 g in 50 ml toluene solvent.

isolhionato brands available in the marketniane, which is called benchmarketniane. 1 and 2 in this study, as well as against Sodium Laureth Sultate.

In cleaning rate between ELFAN AT 84 G and Sodium Cocovi isethionate

The swelling time study quantifies how fast the soil swells after injection of

surtactant solution. The shorter solling time means better the cleansing rate.

Results demonstrated in Figure 7 reveal that ELFAN AT 84 G cleansing agent

has a faster sweiling time than Sodium Laureth Suitate and Sodium Cocoy

Isethionate (henchmark 2) No statically difference between FLEAN AT 84 G

and Sodium Cocoyl isethionale (benchmark 1)

7% active surfactant solution.

run at 23 degrees Celsius

Results

(benchmark 1).

Figure 6: QCM-D, clear

When it comes to soll removal test, the results (Flaure 8) indicate no statically difference in percentage of soil removed between ELFAN AT 84 G cleansing agent, Sodium Laureth Suitate and Sodium Cocoyl Isethionate (benchmark 1) ELFAN AT 84 G removed more soil than Sodium Cocovi isethionate (bench mark 2)

Technical Bulletin

ELFAN AT 84 G

icure 8: OCM-D. soil removal

Figure 7: QCM-D, swelling tim



The QCM-D evaluation results demonstrate that ELFAN AT 84 G cleansing agent has a significantly better cleaning rate than Sodium Laureth Suitate and Clarity performance Sodium Cocovi Isethionate (benchmark 2). There is no statically difference

ELFAN AT M G

Both FLEAN AT 84 AND FLEAN AT 84 G cleansing agents were tested against two Sodium Cocoyl Isethionate brands available in the marketplace, which we call benchmark 1 and 2 in this study.

Methodology: 0.5% active surfactant solution (in delonised water) were prepared and tested at room temperature (21°C) three times with turbidimeter (HACH 21).

Results

The Turbidity study results showed that ELFAN AT 84 and ELFAN AT 84 G cleansing agents provide a considerably better clarity in aqueous solution









Develop cleaning formulations with low glass etching

§ Challenge

To rapidly determine glass etching instead of performing between 100-1000 dishwashing cycles.

§ Solution

Use the QCM-D, which has the ability to measure glass removal of nm thicknesses. Four formulations can be evaluated in parallel. Different glass types can be used.

§ Results

The etching effect of the formulation is completed in 1 hour and enables the screening of many formulations. The best formulations can then be evaluated in full scale at GLAFO (Swedish glass research institute).

Macakova et al, Tenside, Surfactants, Detergents(2014), 51(6), 484,486-490



Follow desorption of biofilm/desinfection by QCMD technique



Measured parameters:

Resonance frequency, Deltaf (Hz) - (increase = desorption) Osc.energy dissipation (low – stiff layer, high – fluffy, viscoelastic layer) (not shown in picture above)

RI SE

Measuring cleaning effect of different ingredients

Methods

Elcometer 1720









Drop equilibration

Drop is allowed to equilibrate on soil surface and wiped off with cloth and weight at selected time for optimum result.



Surfaces

In-house prepared wood-tar surfaces on ceramic tile

 Amount of tar, oven baking time, drying time can be varied for optimum result.

Surfaces from Center for Testmaterials (CFT)

- DM 40 All-purpose cleaner soil, on melamine tile
- DM 80 All purpose cleaning soil on Melamine
- DS 50 Corn Oil on Stainless extra smooth steel
- DS 80 All purpose cleaning soil on Stainless Steel

Materials

Surfactants

- #1 2% Nonionic C10-AGP1
- #2 2% Nonionic alcohol ethoxylate

#3 2% Nonionic C10-APG2

#4 2% Anionic ethyleneoxide sulfonate

#5 2% Nonionic C14-APG

#6 2% Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate

Builder/complexing agent

- B: 1 wt% Sodium citrate
- C: 1 wt% Potassium carbonate
- D: 1 wt% Sodium silicate
- E: 1 wt% Strong complexing agent





Effect of complexing agent alone, pH=13



C was more efficient than E (not shown)

DM40, pH=13, t=30 min





Effect of surfactant+complexing agent



pH effect



- Sodium silicate was most effective complex builder on tar surface.
- Surfactants #4-#6 showed best effect with all complex builders on DM40 surface
- #1 was very efficient with B, D, and E on DM40.
- pH13 works better than pH11 on DM40 and tar surface.



DS 80, all purpose soil



DS 50, corn oil



DM 80, all purpose soil



Mechanical abrasion is (too) significant for DM80. Note the tracks on the backing tray, which is not seen for surfactant solution. **Surfaces from CFT can efficiently by used in Elcometer 1720.**



A Hansen solubility parameter approach to predict solubility and interaction of emollients, actives, polymers, solvents and skin

- § All solvents, polymers, tissue and active ingredients have three Hansen solubility parameters
- § HSPiP software and database
 - § 1200+ solvents, 600+ polymers and 300 emollients in the database
 - S Calculate HSP for emollient blends and for the full external phase in a formulation
 - SMILES input
 SMILES input
 - § Calculate HSP for surfaces/skin (or use default values)
- § Find which emollient mix / cream recipe is most compatible with the active
- § Predict skin interactions of emollient mixes, actives and full formulation recipes
- § Optimize recipe for maximum/minimum skin interaction and uptake

Contact: Martin Andersson, martin.andersson@ri.se



AceForm

Value Chains in Formulation Manufacturing 4.0



Horizon 2020 Coordination and Support Action (CSA) project Oct 2016- Oct 2018

Objectives

- § Establish a European Formulation Interest Group (EU-FIG)
- § Identify common technical and industrial challenges for the European Formulated Products Industries
- § Establish a common vision and roadmap
- § Arrange knowledge exchange activities and facilitate new initiatives along the value chain

Key project output

- § Influence the content of future EU calls
- § EU-FIG community with >500 organizations
- § > 10 new collaborative cross-sectorial initiatives

For more information visit the website at:

www.formulation-network.eu

Formulated Products Circular **Design and** Use and Production Economy Development Recycle RESIDUAL 0 Home & Personal care Pharma Agro Coatings Food Advanced materials Industry 4.0

Contact: Isabel Mira, isabel.mira@ri.se





THANK YOU!

Mikael Kjellin

Mikael.kjellin@ri.se

+46 10 516 60 56

Research Institutes of Sweden Bioscience and Materials Surface, Process and Formulation

