

Vapour Sorption Techniques for Particle Engineering

Sabiyah Ahmed¹, Majid Naderi¹, Manaswini Acharya¹, and Daniel Burnett² Surface Measurement Systems Ltd., Alperton, London, HA04PE ²Surface Measurement Systems Ltd., 2125 28th Street SW, Suite 1, Allentown, PA 18103, USA ~ Email: mnaderi@surfacemeasurementsystems.com~

BACKGROUND

Drug substances intended for drug delivery to the lungs typically require particle size reduction. High energy processes are typically utilized to produce particles smaller than 10 µm but these processes are also known to influence crystallinity, which can lead to a reduction in physical and sometimes even chemical stability. Therefore, these materials may be conditioned following micronization before further processing. Such a "deamorphization" step typically involves the treatment of the materials with an appropriate solvent that plasticizes the material and induces crystallization. While the selection of the solvent is critical, the degree of control over the deamorphization process is also very important. A treatment time that is too short may lead to incomplete crystallization of the material, while overtreatment may cause partial dissolution and agglomeration. In order to estimate the appropriate treatment time, knowledge of the crystallization kinetics may be predicted from Dynamic Vapour Sorption (DVS) studies. Changes in surface chemistry; from a heterogeneous surface property to a homogeneous and low wettability surface property would affect the variability in powder flow behavior and agglomeration which may be monitored using Inverse Gas Chromatography Surface Energy Analyser (IGC-SEA).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Α. Samples and Solvents

Salbutamol Sulphate (SS) was purchased from SigmaAldrich. Salbutamol Sulphate was chosen as a model hydrophilic drug substance. Amorphous regions were created by milling the samples using a mortar and pestle or by ball-milling.

D-mannitol was used as received (M4125 ≥98%; Sigma Aldrich). D-mannitol is a crystalline pharmaceutical excipient, commonly used in formulations for oral and chewable tablets, powder granules and moisture sensitive API's. Surface modified mannitol was prepared via a silanisation process.

B. Dynamic Vapour Sorption Experiments

Dynamic Vapour Sorption (DVS) isotherm experiments were performed on the milled drug substances to ensure amorphous regions were present. A vapour-induced crystallization can be observed in a DVS isotherm experiment by an initial increase in mass followed by a sharp decrease in mass. The decrease in mass is due to the crystalline phase having a lower vapour sorption capacity than the amorphous phase. This mass loss behaviour in an amorphous to crystalline transformation was monitored at different temperatures to determine the crystallization kinetics. Water vapor was used for the crystallization kinetics of SS

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS



Fig. 1: Water vapor isotherms for crystalline (solid) and milled (dashed) SS at 25 ° C

Representative water vapor sorption isotherms for crystalline and milled salbutamol sulphate samples at 25 ° C are displayed in Figure crystalline sample (solid line) shows very little water uptake across the entire humidity range studied, indicating water vapor uptake is domina surface adsorption. Below 4078, RH te milidi sample (dashed line) sorbs significantly more water vapor liph water uptake is typically indicating bulk water absorption. Above the 40% RH step the sample begins to lose mass. The net mass loss observed in Figure 1 for the milled sam attributed to molisture indicaced crystallization.

Dispersive Surface Energy by iGC-SEA



Fig. 3: Dispersive surface energy profiles Dispersive surface energy profiles show that As Received (AR) mannitol and silanised mannitol have different values across surface coverages measured. Additionally, the AR-Mannitol exhibits a higher degree of energetic heterogeneity. There is some degree of heterogeneity, even for the crystalline sample, which could be due to different crystal facets having different surface energies [1-3]. The silanised mannitol is more energetically homogeneous.

Work of Cohesion



Surface Energy is directly related to the thermodynamic work of adhesion between two materials. When investible chemical interactions are neglected and only physical interctions are present, the total work of checking can be detected and the state of property

C. Kinetic Modelling

Crystallization data was modelled using Netzsch Thermokinetics® software, (Netzsch, Selb, Germany). This software allows for visual/manual manipulation of fit parameters and then performs the least squares optimization to generate the best fit parameters.

D. Surface Energy Anaylsis

Surface energy analysis was carried out using the iGC Surface Energy Analyzer. For all experiments, about 2g of samples were packed into individual silanised glass columns. Helium was used as carrier gas and experiments were conducted at 30°C.

E. Powder Flow Test

Flow tests were conducted using FT4 Powder Rheometer (Freeman Technology, Tewkesbury, UK). All samples were first sieved at 500µm to remove any soft agglomerates. Each sample was then held in a glass jar in which it was tumbled prior to testing to put it into a homogeneous state with respect to segregation. All flow tests, including determination of dynamic, bulk and shear properties were carried out with a 23.5mm blade and a 25mm diameter vessel.



Fig. 2: (a) Friedmann analysis shows a single-step reaction mechanism. (b) Fractional crystallization of amorphe range of temperatures ous salbutamol sulphate o

To model the crystallization kinetics, experiments on the milled salbutamol sulphate material were performed at three temperatures between 29 and 38. $^{\circ}$ C at 257 kH. These conditions fell within the kinetically controlled crystallization regime between the glass transition and complete crystallization. Figure 2a illustrates the referama manapsis for salbutamol subplate. The advaluation eregy (within error margin) was found to be relatively constant, indicating that a single-step reaction is taking plate which can be destrolled by an appropriate kinetic model. The fraction of amorphose salbutamol subplate crystallization at the resulting best-fit mechanism are displayed in Figure 2. The best fit model (R-059999) was and node (CS) Sivramic Errore mechanism with an activation energy of 113.5 Jul/md. The Avami-Eroleer mechanism is based on a random nucleation model. His suggests that amorphone salbutamol subplate crystallizes with on operformating provide mechanism is based on a random nucleation model.





Fig. 5: Flowability energy as a function of aeration Powder flow results indicate clear rheological differences between AR D-mannitol and silanised D-mannitol. AR D-mannitol exhibited unstable flow behavior, in particular in the aeration test, showing dramatic variability at low air velocities, as presented in Figure 4. Flowability energy of AR D-mannitol was observed here reducing from 333.0 to 50.0 mJ, before fully aerates and stabilizes at 32.4 mJ. Silanised D-mannitol however has a much lower flowability energy and aerated energy, implying a less cohesive (more free-flowing) powder property.

CONCLUSIONS

ater vapor has been shown to induce crystallization for milled salbutamol sulphate, with one-step mechanism. DVS can be used to investigate moisture-induced crystallization kinetics, over a wide range of temperature and humidity conditions.

Silanisation process has clearly improved the flow properties of D-mannitol in a low-stress environment. iGC-SEA is a fast and accurate way to predict agglomeration and powder flow behavior, using surface energy heterogeneity and work of cohesion as the parameters.

 J.Y.Y. Heng, A. Bismark, and D.R. Williams, AAPS PharmSciTech 7, Article 84 (2006).
R. Ho, S.J. Hinder, J.F. Watts, S.E. Dilworth, D.R. Williams, and J.Y.Y. Heng, Int. J. Pharm. 387, 79-86 (2010).

R. Ho, J.Y.Y. Heng, S.E. Dilworth, and D.R. Williams, J. Adhesion 84, 483-501 (2008).
J.F. Gamble, M. Leane. D. Olusanmi, E. Supuk, J. Khoo and Naderi, M. International Journal of

Pharmaceutics 422 238- 244 (2012). F. Thielmann, DJ Burnett and J.Y.Y Heng, Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm. 33, 1240-1253 (2007)

[6] P.P. Yla-Maihaniemi, J.Y.Y. Heng, F. Thielmann and D.R. Williams, Langmuir 24, 9551-9557 (2008).

Email: Science@surfacemeasurementsystems.com